## EXHIBIT 1

```
1
                  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                 FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
2
     E.G., a minor, BY CHRISTINA
3
     RAQUEL, individually as parent)
     and next friend of E.G.,
4
                     Plaintiff,
5
          vs.
                                    ) Case No. 15-cv-702-NJR
6
     ABBOTT LABORATORIES, INC.,
7
                                     ) June 7, 2017
                     Defendant.
8
                        JURY TRIAL DAY/VOLUME XI
9
              BEFORE THE HONORABLE NANCY J. ROSENSTENGEL
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
10
                               APPEARANCES
11
     FOR PLAINTIFF:
                                 John E. Williams, Esq.
12
                                 John T. Boundas, Esq.
                                 Williams Kherkher
                                 8441 Gulf Freeway, Suite 600
13
                                 Houston, TX 77017
                                 (713) 230-2200
14
15
     FOR DEFENDANT:
                                 Joel H. Smith, Esq.
                                 Bowman and Brooke LLP
16
                                 1441 Main St., Suite 1200
                                 Columbia, SC 29201
17
                                 (803) 726-7422
                                 Dan H. Ball, Esq.
18
                                 Bryan Cave - St. Louis
19
                                 211 N. Broadway, Suite 3600
                                 St. Louis, MO 63102
2.0
                                 (314) 259-2000
21
     REPORTED BY:
                                 Laura A. Esposito, RPR, CRR
                                 U.S. District Court
                                 750 Missouri Avenue
22
                                 East St. Louis, IL 62201
                                 (618) 482-9481
23
                                 Laura_Esposito@ilsd.uscourts.gov
24
          Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography;
25
     transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.
```

1 Abbott, do your job. Describe the human data. 2 That's your job. Do it and do it every time. That's what 3 should have been done in this case. There's no question 4 about that. 5 The commissioner talked about the importance of including the human data. I've got several slides on that. 6 7 But even to take it further, this is Dr. Heimberger from Abbott: 8 "Was there a duty to describe the human data?" 9 "Human data did need to be included if you are 10 describing birth defects. There's no question 11 12 about it, it needed to be in there." 13 You know, we talked about the situation. They 14 talked about claiming it was essential, it was a good drug for this lady, and she should have been on it. Do you 15 understand that argument? had a little sister who 16 was born healthy and happy because the mom was not on 17 Depakote. This company would have had this woman taking 18 Depakote when she was developing the first 28 days of the 19 20 little sister. Pretty shocking. 21 THE COURT: Mr. Williams, you have five minutes. 22 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Your Honor. 23 I go back. Was Depakote essential? From the mouths of the prescribers, it's hard for me to say it was 24

essential. Was Depakote the only mood stabilizer available?

25

No. Did this patient need to be on Depakote when you saw her? No.

Going back to Abbott for a moment. The responsibility -- Dr. Embrescia. Dr. Embrescia, he was the man that always had the glasses on top of his head during the deposition. He worked for Dr. Heimberger. And I asked him this question:

"Doctors and patients rely upon Abbott to provide them the honest and complete safety information about Depakote. Do you agree with that?"

"Doctors and patients rely upon the company to provide safety information. The complete part, as I said, is about the evolving safety profile, and we should provide everything we know at the time we know it."

I think that's the way it should be. The undisputed facts. I'll go back to, it's one of the three most dangerous drugs in here. The rate was not communicated to the prescribers. The decision to withhold that was made by Abbott. And if the prescriber had been told, would be as healthy as his little sister.

You know what Abbott did in this case? You know what the first rule of medicine is? You do. First, do no harm. Abbott violated the very first rule of medicine, the

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Laura A. Esposito, RPR, CRR, CCR(MO), Official Court Reporter for the U.S. District Court, Southern District of Illinois, do hereby certify that I reported in shorthand the proceedings contained in the foregoing 124 pages, and that the same is a full, true, correct, and complete transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. Dated this 8th day of June, 2017. LAURA A. ESPOSITO, RPR, CRR, CCR